

Analog-to-Digital Conversion as a Source of Drifts in Displacements  
Derived from Digital Recordings of Ground Acceleration

by David M. Boore

Abstract

Displacements obtained from double integration of digitally recorded ground accelerations often show drifts much larger than reasonably expected for the true ground displacements. These drifts might be due to many things, including dynamic elastic ground tilt, inelastic ground deformation, hysteresis in the instruments, and cross feed due to misalignment of nominally orthogonal sensors. This note shows that even if those effects were not present, the analog-to-digital conversion (ADC) process can produce apparent “pulses” and offsets in the acceleration baseline if the ground motion is slowly varying compared to the quantization level of the digitization. Such slowly varying signals can be produced by dc offsets that do not coincide with a quantization level and by near- and intermediate terms in the wavefield radiated from earthquakes. Double integration of these apparent “pulses” and offsets leads to drifts in the displacements comparable to those found in processing real recordings. These effects decrease in importance as the resolution of the ADC process increases.

Introduction

Long-period drifts are pervasive in displacements obtained by double integration of ground accelerations obtained from digital recorders deployed to capture ground motion from earthquakes (e.g., Chiu, 1997; Boore *et al.*, 2002; Wang *et al.*, 2003). These drifts are usually interpreted as being due to small shifts in the baseline of the recorded acceleration

and have been attributed to many things, including mechanical or electrical hysteresis in the transducer (Iwan *et al.*, 1985; Shakal and Petersen, 2001), ground tilt (Bradner and Reichle, 1973; Trifunac and Todorovska, 2001), or cross-axis effects due to misalignment of sensors (Wong and Trifunac, 1977; Todorovska, 1998). In this short note I add another item to the collection of possible causes of baseline offsets: analog-to-digital conversion (ADC).

That ADC might be a source of baseline offsets first came to mind when studying several colocated recordings of the 1999 Chi-Chi earthquake at Hualien station (see Wang *et al.*, 2003). One of the recordings (HWA) was obtained on a Geotech A-800 instrument, a predecessor of the A-900 instruments on which most of the recordings of the earthquake were obtained. The displacement time series derived from the A-800 record shows pronounced trends on all three components, with the trends on two of the components (UD, NS) being roughly linear with a slope near 3 cm/s. The piezoelectric transducers used in the A-800 have a low-cut frequency of 0.02 Hz, and the signal was filtered with a 0.1 Hz low-cut analog filter before it entered the ADC; the presence of long-period energy in a signal that had been filtered twice to remove long periods implies that the baseline offset producing the drift in displacement most likely occurred during or after the ADC process (the A-900 transducer and recorder do not have low-cut filters).

### The Effect of Random Quantization Error on Ground Displacement Derived from Accelerations

If the acceleration time series varies rapidly enough and with large enough amplitude, then the error in ADC can be modeled as random, uncorrelated white noise distributed uniformly between  $\pm 0.5Q$ , where the quanta,  $Q$ , is the number of cm/s<sup>2</sup> per digital count (also known as the LSB [least significant bit] value). The quanta  $Q$  is related to the full scale range  $\pm Y$  and the number of bits  $N$  used in the ADC by the equation

$$Q = 2Y/2^N. \tag{1}$$

The standard deviation of the error is

$$\sigma_a = Q/\sqrt{12} \tag{2}$$

(Scherbaum, 2001). The quantity of concern in this paper is the error in displacements derived by double integration of an acceleration time series. Integrating a random series is a random-walk process, and double integration might be termed a double random walk. For this case the mean displacement will be zero, but the standard deviation of the displacement ( $\sigma_{d_{end}}$ ) depends on the duration of the time series ( $T$ ) and the sampling interval ( $\Delta t$ ) according to the following equation

$$\sigma_{d_{end}} = \left( \frac{T^3 \Delta t}{3} \right)^{1/2} \sigma_a, \quad (3)$$

(Boore *et al.*, 2002). For the Chi-Chi earthquake data, the  $\pm 1g$ , 12-bit A-800 instrument and the  $\pm 2g$ , 16-bit A-900 instrument have  $Q$  values of 0.48 and 0.060 cm/s<sup>2</sup>, respectively, and the sampling interval is 0.005 sec. (Note that in this paper I sometimes loosely refer to these as “12-bit” and “16-bit” ADC, although the  $Y$  value for the “16-bit” ADC is twice that of the “12-bit” ADC). With these values, using equations (2) and (3) gives  $\sigma_{d_{end}}$  of 6 and 1 cm for the A-800 and A-900 instruments, respectively, after integration of 100 sec. These are much smaller than the drifts seen on many of the records (e.g., Boore, 2001; Wang *et al.*, 2003), and suggest another source of the drifts in the displacements. If the digitization error is not uncorrelated random noise, then equation (3) is not applicable. To study this situation I used simulations of the acceleration ground motion, as discussed in the next section.

### The Effect of the ADC Process on Simulated Ground Motions

To investigate the possible role of ADC in producing drifts in displacement, I simulated ground accelerations and “digitized” these simulations by using a Fortran “floor” function that seems to be the equivalent of the ADC used in the A-800 and A-900 instruments (Crystal Semiconductor Corporation, 2001; J. Kerr, written commun., 2002). I consider the motion without the ADC process to be the equivalent of analog motion, although obviously it is represented using a finite word length in the computer.

In the first version of this paper I used the stochastic method (Boore, 2003) to generate a suite of simulated ground accelerations at 84 km from a **M** 7.6 earthquake (this is the

situation for the Hualien station that recorded the 1999 Chi-Chi earthquake). I adjusted the site amplifications to get rough agreement with the observed response spectrum from the EW component of record HWA019. Double integration of the simulated accelerations showed large, nearly linear drifts in displacements, larger for the 12-bit than for the 16-bit ADC. Close inspection of expanded plots of the various time series showed that these drifts were associated with slowly-varying pre-event motions produced by the acausal filtering used in the simulation program (SMSIM, Boore, 2000: the procedure does not demand that the real and imaginary parts of the spectrum of ground motion have the proper relation to guarantee causality). If the amplitude of these motions varies slowly relative to the  $Q$  value, the “digitized” signal resulting from the ADC can have a positive or negative bias, depending on what portion of the record is used to determine the mean that is removed from the whole record before double integration. This pulse-like bias leads to linear trends in displacement.

Although the slowly-varying, acausal motions in the simulations are clearly unphysical, ramp-like behavior can occur in real ground motions (due to intermediate- and near-field terms), and the results of the simulation might be meaningful. But to overcome any objections related to the unphysical nature of the simulations, I redid the study using simulations from a full-wave theory program (COMPSYN, Spudich and Xu, 2002). I simulated the ground accelerations at distance of 10 km and 128 km from a finite vertical strikeslip fault 30 km long, extending from 5 to 9 km in depth. The crustal model was that used by Ji *et al.* (2002) to simulate the 1999 Hector Mine, California earthquake. The fault–station geometry for the 128 km distance was chosen as a rough simulation of the motions at station 513 (see Figure 1 in Boore *et al.*, 2002), and the distance of 10 km was chosen to provide a ground motion with a significant residual displacement. The calculations were limited to frequencies less than 1 Hz, but for purposes described later, the motions were interpolated to about 100 samples per sec. The simulated velocities were differentiated and integrated to produce simulated accelerations and displacements. The accelerations were filtered with a 25 Hz high-cut filter to remove some high-frequency ripple; the ADC study was done using the filtered accelerations. No low-cut filtering was done in the simulations. The waveforms for the horizontal component of motion parallel to

the fault strike are shown in Figure 1. As expected, the waveforms are causal, the ground motion at 10 km has a large residual displacement, and the motion at 118 km shows a long-period trend between the initial P and S arrivals, due to near- and intermediate-field terms in the simulations. Unlike the stochastic method simulations, there is no randomness in the calculations (at least none explicitly included); the complexity of the acceleration waveforms is due to reverberations in the layered model (no inelastic attenuation was included in the calculations). Realizing that in real recordings the instruments are rarely so perfectly adjusted that the “zero” level is actually zero, I added a random constant dc offset to the whole acceleration time series. The offset was chosen from a uniform distribution between  $\pm 15 \text{ cm/s}^2$ . This procedure was repeated 99 times, yielding a suite of 99 “analog” accelerations. Each of these was then digitized as described earlier, and the displacements were calculated by computing a mean of a portion of the acceleration, removing this mean from the whole acceleration time series, and then integrating twice.

The first 10 displacements for the two distances are shown in Figure 2. The mean was determined from the pre-event portion of each record. There are clear trends in the displacements, which are entirely due to the ADC process. Because I used the same initial seed for the random number generator when processing the accelerations at the two distances, the random offsets are the same for the first, second, etc traces for the two distances, and therefore the drifts for the two distances are qualitatively similar in their trends (up or down). To understand what the source of the drifts might be, I plotted at an expanded amplitude scale the difference between the “digitized” acceleration resulting from the 16-bit ADC and the “analog” acceleration (no ADC) and similar differences for the derived the velocity time series. I used the 9th of the suite of 99 motions, corresponding to the next-to-last displacement in the right panel of Figure 2. The difference plots are shown in Figure 3, along with a small segment of the acceleration (plotted at an expanded time scale to illustrate the digitizing) before and after digitizing and after removal of the pre-event mean from the whole record. Because no noise was added to the baseline, the corrected digitized acceleration is equal to zero for the portion used to determine the mean; differences between the analog and the digitized accelerations begin at the arrival of the P-wave (third graph from the top in Figure 3). What is particularly interesting is that the

difference is not symmetrical about 0.0, but for the particular example shown in Figure 3 is biased to negative values by a fraction of a quanta ( $Q = 0.06$  in this case). The bias in acceleration leads to a linear trend in velocity (until the end of the motion at about 60 sec, in this case), and this leads to the drift seen in the displacement (Figure 2). Spot checking other cases leads to the same result. Apparently the ADC process applied to records containing slowly varying motions (the random dc offset is an extreme of such a motion) introduces a bias in the corrected acceleration time series that leads to drifts in the displacement.

The effect of ADC on the displacements can be sensitive to the portion of record from which the mean is determined. This is shown in Figure 4, in which the displacements are compared at the two distances when the mean was determined from the pre-event portion of the record and from the whole record. As will be shown later, the displacements obtained using the overall mean are generally better than those from the pre-event portion for the motions studied in this paper. This would not be the case, however, if a shift in baseline occurred during the shaking (all examples in this paper have a constant, but random, baseline shift). Such changes in the baseline seem to be pervasive in many digital recordings (e.g., those from the 1999 Chi-Chi, Taiwan mainshock; Wang *et al.*, 2003). The result of such a change in baseline is such that removal of the mean determined from the whole record will produce displacements in the pre-event portion that will have trends similar to, but often larger than, the pre-event trends shown in Figure 4.

The problems that can arise from applying ADC to slowly varying signals has long been recognized in audio digital signal processing (e.g., Smith, 1997; Pohlmann, 2000; Watkinson, 2001), where the result is described as undesirable low-frequency distortion. A common way of reducing such effects is to add a small amount of random noise to the signal before ADC; this process is known as “dithering”. (The A-800 and A-900 instruments have not used dithering in the ADC; J. Kerr, written commun., 2002). An example of dithering is shown in Figure 5. The upper graph shows the undigitized signal before and after addition of Gaussian noise with a standard deviation equal to  $2/3 Q$ . The middle graph shows the two signals after ADC, and the bottom graph shows the

resulting displacements. The displacement for the dithered signal is much closer to the true displacement (Figure 2) than that from the undithered signal, and as shown later, for a given resolution of the ADC this holds in general.

The severity of the drifts in displacements is clearly a function of the resolution of the ADC. One particular example of this is shown in Figure 6. Note that the 24-bit ADC leads to a displacement time series essentially identical to the true motion, and that the dithered 12-bit ADC is in general better than the undithered 16-bit ADC (interestingly, processing of recent recordings on 24-bit systems from the 1999 Chi-Chi, Taiwan, and the 1999 Hector Mine, California, earthquakes shows that displacements from those recordings are contaminated by large drifts [Boore *et al.*, 2002; Wang *et al.*, 2003], so something other than the ADC effect discussed in this paper is present in those recordings.)

Up to this point, examples have been shown for individual realizations of the random process. In Figure 7 I show the results of all 99 realizations for the simulation at a distance of 10 km. The graphs show the value of the displacement for each realization at the end of the record ( $d_{end}$ ), for several types of ADC and for different portions the records used to determine the mean. Note the different scales for the ordinate in each graph (the scales are the same for the bottom two graphs). The statistics corresponding to these scatter plots are contained in Table 1 for the simulations at 10 km and 128 km. The statistical results are consistent with those discussed earlier for particular realizations: the higher the resolution, the smaller the effect of ADC on the displacements; using means from the whole record leads to smaller drifts than using a mean from the pre-event portion; for a given resolution, dithering leads to much smaller drifts than for undithered signals (for the examples here, dithering led to smaller scatter than obtained by going from  $\pm 1g$ , 12-bit ADC to  $\pm 2g$ , 16-bit ADC, although the mean of the final displacements for the 16-bit ADC was closer to the true mean).

## Summary

The ADC process can produce apparent offsets in the baseline of acceleration time series and these offsets can lead to drifts in displacement comparable to those seen in

analysis of observed data. The offsets can occur because of shifts in the dc level of the voltage of the transducer or they can be associated with slowly varying signals, as are produced by near- and intermediate terms in the wavefield radiated from earthquakes. Dithering is an effective way of reducing the effect of ADC. In conclusion, even without the many other sources of baseline offsets the ADC process alone can introduce significant drifts in displacements derived from digitally-recorded accelerations.

### Acknowledgments

I thank John Evans, Jim Kerr, Frank Scherbaum, Joe Steim, Chris Stephens, and Guoquan Wang for in-person and email conversations and John Evans and Chris Stephens for reviewing the paper. In particular, I thank John Evans for alerting me to the use of dithering in audio digital signal processing, and for making me realize that absolute zero is a fiction in electrical recording systems.

### References

- Boore, D.M. (2000). SMSIM – Fortran programs for simulating ground motions from earthquakes: version 2.0 — A revision of OFR 96-80-A, *U.S. Geol. Surv. Open-File Rept. OF 00-509*, 55 pp.
- Boore, D.M. (2001). Effect of baseline corrections on displacements and response spectra for several recordings of the 1999 Chi-Chi, Taiwan, earthquake, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* **91**, 1199–1211.
- Boore, D.M. (2002). SMSIM: Stochastic Method SIMulation of ground motion from earthquakes, in: *International Handbook of Earthquake and Engineering Seismology*, (edited by W.H.K. Lee, H. Kanamori, P.C. Jennings, and C. Kisslinger), Chapter 85.13, Academic Press, (in press).
- Boore, D.M. (2003). Prediction of ground motion using the stochastic method, *Pure and Applied Geophy.* **160**, (in press).



- Boore, D.M., C.D. Stephens, and W.B. Joyner (2002). Comments on baseline correction of digital strong-motion data: Examples from the 1999 Hector Mine, California, earthquake, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* **92**, 1543–1560.
- Bradner, H. and M. Reichle (1973). Some methods for determining acceleration and tilt by use of pendulums and accelerometers, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* **63**, 1–7.
- Chiu, H.-C. (1997). Stable baseline correction of digital strong-motion data, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* **87**, 932–944.
- Crystal Semiconductor Corporation (2001). CS5012A/14/16 Product Data Sheet, <http://www.cirrus.com/pubs/cs5012a-f7.PDF?DocumentID=478>
- Iwan, W. D., M. A. Moser, and C.-Y. Peng (1985). Some observations on strong-motion earthquake measurement using a digital accelerograph, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* **75**, 1225–1246.
- Ji, C., D.J. Wald, and D.V. Helmberger (2002). Source description of the 1999 Hector Mine, California earthquake; Part II: Complexity of slip history, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* **92**, 1208–1226.
- Pohlmann, K.C. (2000). *Principles of Digital Audio*, McGraw-Hill Professional, New York, 736 pp.
- Scherbaum, F. (2001). *Of Poles and Zeros*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 268 pp.
- Shakal, A.F. and C.D. Petersen (2001). Acceleration offsets in some FBA’s during earthquake shaking (abst.), *Seism. Res. Lett.* **72**, 233.
- Smith, S.W. (1997). *The Scientist and Engineer’s Guide to Digital Signal Processing*, California Technical Publishing, San Diego, California, 626 pp.
- Spudich, P. and L. Xu (2002). Software for calculating earthquake ground motions from finite faults in vertically varying media, in: *International Handbook of Earthquake and*

*Engineering Seismology*, (edited by W.H.K. Lee, H. Kanamori, P.C. Jennings, and C. Kisslinger), Chapter 85.14, Academic Press, (in press).

Todorovska, M.I. (1998). Cross-axis sensitivity of accelerographs with pendulum like transducers-mathematical model and the inverse problem, *Earthq. Eng. Struct. Dyn.* **27**, 1031–1051.

Trifunac, M.D. and M.I. Todorovska (2001). A note on the useable dynamic range of accelerographs recording translation, *Soil Dyn. Earthq. Eng.* **21**, 275–286.

Wang, G.-Q., D.M. Boore, H. Igel, and X.-Y. Zhou (2003). Some observations on colocated and closely-spaced strong ground motion records of the 1999, Chi-Chi, Taiwan earthquake, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* **93**, in press.

Watkinson, J. (2001). *Art of Digital Audio*, Focal Press, Burlington, MA, 736 pp.

Wong, H.L. and M.D. Trifunac (1977). Effects of cross-axis sensitivity and misalignment on the response of mechanical-optical accelerographs, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* **67**, 929–956.

Table 1. Mean and standard deviation of  $d_{end}$  from 99 simulations of random dc offset. All displacements in  $cm$ ; types of ADC as follows: 12 =  $\pm 1g$ , 12-bits; 16 =  $\pm 2g$ , 16-bits; 24 =  $\pm 2g$ , 24-bits. “dithered” = adding Gaussian noise with standard deviation  $2/3 Q$  to acceleration trace before ADC. The portion of the acceleration used to determine the mean that was then subtracted from the whole acceleration trace before double integration is indicated by “pre” and “whole”, where “pre” indicates pre-event mean (usually the first 19 sec, where the motion starts at about 20 sec), and “whole” indicates the mean of the whole record.

R(km)	Mean From	ADC	$d_{end}$	$\langle d_{end} \rangle^\dagger$	$\sigma_{d_{end}}$	$\sigma_{rw}^\ddagger$
10	pre	none	-54.3	-54.3	0.3	
10	pre	12	-54.3	-29.7	217.2	6.6
10	pre	12, dithered	-54.3	-51.3	21.1	15.4
10	pre	16	-54.3	-52.3	40.3	0.8
10	pre	24	-54.3	-54.3	0.4	0.003
10	whole	none	-54.3	-54.9	0.1	
10	whole	12	-54.3	-53.7	39.9	6.6
10	whole	12, dithered	-54.3	-55.7	7.6	15.4
10	whole	16	-54.3	-55.4	10.9	0.8
10	whole	24	-54.3	-54.9	0.1	0.003
118	pre	none	-0.4	-0.4	0.3	
118	pre	12	-0.4	+19.2	189.0	5.5
118	pre	12, dithered	-0.4	-3.5	16.5	12.7
118	pre	16	-0.4	+0.9	29.2	0.7
118	pre	24	-0.4	-0.4	0.3	0.003

<sup>†</sup> mean value; <sup>‡</sup> from random walk, equation (3)




Figure 1. Acceleration, velocity, and displacement for simulations at two distances using full wave theory in a layered crust with an extended fault (see text), without digitization.




Figure 2. The sensitivity of the displacements obtained from ADC of simulated accelerations at distances of 10 and 128 km to different, randomly-determined dc offsets. The offsets were added to each simulated trace before digitizing (see text), and the mean from the pre-event of each digitized trace was removed from the whole record before double integration to obtain the displacement time series. The ADC was for  $\pm 2$  g, 16 bits, with a quanta of  $0.06 \text{ cm/s}^2/\text{count}$  (this ADC corresponds to that used in the A-900 instruments that recorded the 1999 Chi-Chi, Taiwan earthquake).




Figure 3. Cause of drift in displacement for a particular example. The top graph shows the unprocessed simulated and digitized acceleration; the second graph shows the top traces after removing the mean determined from the pre-event portion of the traces in the top graph; the difference of the mean-removed digitized and simulated accelerations is shown in the third graph; the integration of the difference acceleration yields the curve shown in the bottom graph. A second integration results in the linear drift in displacement seen in second to last trace of the right hand panel in Figure 2.




Figure 4. The effect of the length of record used to determine the means removed from the acceleration traces before double integration. The acceleration traces were derived from ADC of the simulation at 10 km, for a particular randomly-chosen dc offset. The top and bottom graphs show the results using the types of ADC in the A800 and A900 instruments that recorded the 1999 Chi-Chi, Taiwan earthquakes.




Figure 5. The effect of dithering on displacement. The top graph shows the simulated acceleration, with a random dc offset, before and after dithering (with noise from a normal distribution with a standard deviation of  $2/3 Q$ ); the middle graph shows the same traces as in the top graph after ADC. Both the top and middle graphs are plotted at a greatly expanded time scale. The bottom graph shows the displacements obtained by double integration of the non-dithered and dithered accelerations.






Figure 6. An example of the displacements obtained using different ADC.




Figure 7. Values of final value of displacement ( $d_{end}$ ) after 90 sec, from processing of 99 accelerograms formed by adding constant, randomly-chosen dc offsets to the simulation at  $R = 10$  km (see text). The upper left plot is for no ADC, the upper right is for “12-bit” ADC with no dithering, the bottom left is for “12-bit” ADC with dithering, and the bottom right is for “16-bit” and “24-bit” ADC. Each plot contains the accelerograms processed with means determined from the whole record and from the pre-event portion of the record. The horizontal gray line in each graph is the exact value of  $d_{end}$ .